FORMER PRESIDENT BILL CLINTON DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL CONVENTION CHARLOTTE, NC WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2012

Track Change Comparison between As Delivered and As Prepared

We're Thank you. Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you.

Now, Mr. Mayor, fellow Democrats, we are here to nominate a President, and I've got one in mind.

I want to nominate a man whose own life has known its fair share of adversity and uncertainty. A I want to nominate a man who ran for President to change the course of an already weak economy and then, just six weeks before the list election, saw it suffer the biggest collapse since the Great Depression. A man who stopped the slide into depression and put us on the long road to recovery, knowing all the while that, no matter how -- no matter how many jobs were that he saved or created and saved, there were would still be millions more waiting. Worried about feeding our own kids, trying to feed their children and keep their hopes alive.

I want to nominate a man who's cool on the outside but burningwho burns for America on the inside. A-And I want -- I want a man who believes with no doubt that we can build a new American Dreamdream economy driven by innovation and creativity, by education, and yes, by cooperation. AAnd by the way, after last night, I want a man who had the good sense to marry Michelle Obama.

<u>I -- I want -- I</u> want Barack Obama to be the next President of the United States, and I proudly nominate him <u>asto be</u> the standard bearer of the Democratic Party.

Now, folks, in Tampa a few days ago, we heard a lot of talk, all about how the President and the Democrats don't <u>really</u> believe in free enterprise and individual initiative, how we want <u>everyoneeverybody</u> to be dependent on the government, how bad we are for the economy.

The This Republican narrative is, its alternative universe says that allevery one of us in this room who amount amounts to anything are, we're all completely self-made. One of our the greatest chairmen the Democratic Chairmen Party ever had, Bob Strauss, used to say that every politician wants youevery voter to believe he was born in a log cabin he built himself, but. But as Strauss then admitted, it ain't so.

We Democrats, we think the country works better with a strong middle class, with real opportunities for poor peoplefolks to work their way into it and a, where the relentless focus on the future, with business and government actually working together to promote growth and broadly shared prosperity. We think You see, we believe that "we're all in this together" is a far better philosophy than "you're on your own.""!

Who's So who's right? Well, since 1961, for 52 years now, the Republicans have held the White House 28 years, the Democrats 24. In those 52 years, our private economy has produced 66 million private sector jobs. What's what's the jobsjob score? Republicans 24 million, Democrats 42 million!!

<u>There's a reason for this.</u> It turns out that advancing equal opportunity and economic empowerment is both morally right and good economics, <u>because</u>. <u>Why? Because poverty</u>, discrimination, <u>poverty</u> and ignorance restrict growth, <u>while investments</u>. When you stifle human

potential, when you don't invest in education, infrastructure and scientific and technological research increase it, creating more good jobs and new wealth forideas, it doesn't just cut off the people who are affected; it hurts us all of us.

ThoughWe know that investments in education and infrastructure and scientific and technological research increase growth. They increase good jobs, and they create new wealth for all the rest of us.

There's something I've noticed lately. You probably have, too, and it's this. Maybe just because I grew up in a different time, but though I often disagree with Republicans, I actually never learned to hate them the way the far right that now controls their party seems to hate President Obamaour president and thea lot of other Democrats. After all, That -- that would be impossible for me, because President Eisenhower sent federal troops to my home state to integrate Little Rock Central High and-School. President Eisenhower built the interstate highway system. And asWhen I was a governor, I worked with President Reagan on in his White House on the first round of welfare reform, and with President George H.W. Bush on national education goals. Lam I'm actually very grateful -- if you saw from the film what I do today, I have to be grateful, and you should be, too, that President George W. Bush for PEPFAR, which is savingsupported PEPAR. It saved the lives of millions of people in poor countries-and.

And I have been honored to work with both Presidents Bush for a natural disasters in the work we've done together afteraftermath on the South Asias tsunami, Hurricane Katrina and, the Haitianhorrible earthquake-in Haiti. Through my foundation, both in both America and around the world, I work I'm working all the time with Democrats, Republicans and Independents independents. Sometimes I couldn't tell you for the life of me who are focused I'm working with, because we focus on solving problems and seizing opportunities, and not fighting each otherall the time.

When-Here's what I want to say to you, and here's what I want the people at home to think about. When times are tough, and people are frustrated and angry and hurting and uncertain, the politics of constant conflict may be good. But what is good politics but does not necessarily work in the real world, What works in the real world is cooperation-works. What works in the real world is cooperation. Business and government, foundations and universities. Ask the mayors who are here. Los Angeles is getting green and Chicago is getting an infrastructure bank because Republicans and Democrats are working together to get it. They didn't check their brains at the door. They didn't stop disagreeing, but their purpose was to get something done. Now, why is this true? Why does cooperation work better. After all, nobody's than constant conflict? Because nobody is right all the time, and a broken clock is right twice a day.

Every one of us are destined—every one of us and every one of them, we're compelled to livespend our fleeting lives between those two extremes—knowing we're never going to be right all the time, and hopefully, we're right more than twice a day.

Unfortunately, the faction that now dominates the Republican Party doesn't see it that way. They think government is the enemy, and compromise is weakness.always the enemy, they're always right, and compromise its weakness. Just in the last couple of elections, they defeated two distinguished Republican senators because they dared to cooperate with Democrats on issues important to the future of the country, even national security. They beat a Republican congressman with almost a 100 percent voting record on every conservative score, because he said he realized he did not have to hate the president to disagree with him. Boy, that was a non-starter, and they threw him out.

One of the main reasons America should re-electwe ought to reelect President Obama is that he is still committed to constructive cooperation. Look at his record. Look at his record. Look at his record. He appointed Republican Secretaries of Defensedefense, the Army and Transportationtransportation. He appointed a Vice President vice president who ran against him in 2008, and. And he trusted himthat vice president to oversee the successful end of the war in Iraq and the implementation of the recovery act Recovery Act. And Joe Biden -- Joe Biden did a great job with both. He !

Now, he -- President Obama -- President Obama appointed Cabinetseveral members who of his cabinet, even though they supported Hillary in the primaries primary. Heck, he even appointed Hillary! I'm so.

Now, wait a minute. I am -- I am very proud of her. I am proud of the job she and grateful to our entirethe national security team for all they've done have done for America. I am grateful that they have worked together to make us safer and stronger and to build a world with more partners and fewer enemies. I'm also grateful for the relationship of respect and partnership she and the president have enjoyed and the signal that sends to the young-rest of the world that democracy does not have a blood -- have to be a blood sport; it can be an honorable enterprise that advances the public interest.

Now -- but besides the national security team, I am very grateful to the men and women who serveserved our country in the military and uniform through these perilous times. And I am especially grateful to Michelle Obama and to Jill Biden for supporting those military families when while their loved ones arewere overseas and. And for helpingsupporting our veterans when they came home, when they come home bearing the wounds of war, or needing help withto find education, or jobs or housing, and jobs.

President Obama's <u>whole</u> record on national security is a tribute to his strength, <u>andto his</u> judgment, and to his preference for inclusion and partnership over partisanship.

He We need more of it in Washington, D.C. Now, we all know that he also tried to work with Congressional Republicans on Health Carehealth care, debt reduction, and new jobs, but. And that didn't work out so well. ProbablyBut it could have been because, as the Senate Republican leader, said in a remarkable moment of candor, said two full years before the election, their number oneNo. 1 priority was not to put America back to work, but; it was to put President Obama the president out of work.

Well... Wait a minute. Senator, I hate to break it to you, but we're going to keep President Obama on the job!

Now, are you ready for that? Are you ready to work for it?

In Tampa, did you all watch the convention? I did. In Tampa, the Republican argument against the President's re-election was actually pretty simple: we, pretty snappy. It went something like this: "We left him a total mess, he. He hasn't cleaned it up fast enough, so fire him and put us back in.."

InBut they did it well. They looked good, they sounded good, they convinced me that they all love their families and their children, and we're grateful they've been born in America. And all --really, I'm not being -- they did. And this is important. They convinced me they were honorable people who believe what they said, and they're going to keep every commitment they've made. We just have to make sure the American people know what those commitments are.

Because in order to look like an acceptable, reasonable, moderate alternative to President Obama, they couldn'tjust didn't say very much about the ideas they have they've offered over the last two years. You see They couldn't, because they want to go back to the same old policies that got us intoin trouble in the first place:.

They want to cut taxes for high—income Americans even more than President Bush did;. They want to get rid of those pesky financial regulations designed to prevent another crash and prohibit future bailouts;. They want to increaseactually increased defense spending twoover a decade \$2 trillion dollars—more than the Pentagon has requested without saying what they'll spend the moneyit on;. And they want to make enormous cuts in the rest of the budget, especially programs that help the middle—class and poor kidschildren. As another President president once said—, there they go again.

Now, I like -- all right. I like the argument for President Obama's re-election a lot better. Here it is. He inherited a deeply damaged economy, He put a floor under the crash, He began the long, hard road to recovery, and laid the foundation for a modern, more well-balanced economy that will produce millions of good new jobs, vibrant new businesses, and lots of new wealth for the innovators. Are we where we want to be? No. Is the President satisfied? No. Are we better off than we were when he took office, with an economy in free fall, losing 750,000 jobs a month. The answer is YESinnovators.

<u>Hunderstand</u>Now, are we where we want to be today? No. Is the president satisfied? Of course not. But are we better off than we were when he took office? Listen to this. Listen to this. Everybody sit down.

When President Barack Obama took office, the economy was in free fall. It had just shrunk 9.4 percent of the GDP. We were losing 750,000 jobs a month. Are we doing better than that today? The answer is yes.

Now, look, here's the challenge we he faces and the challenge all of you who support him face. I get it, I know manyit, I've been there. A lot of Americans are still angry and frustrated with theabout this economy. Thoughlf you look at the numbers, you know employment is growing, banks are beginning to lend again, and even in a lot of places, the housing prices are pickingeven beginning to pick up a bit,. But too many people don'tdo not feel it, yet.

I experienced the had this same thing happen in 1994 and early 1995. Our 95. We could see that the policies were working and, that the economy was growing, but most people didn't feel it yet. By Thankfully, by 1996, the economy was roaring, everybody felt it, and we were halfway through the longest peacetime expansion in Americanthe history of the United States.

<u>But -- wait, wait -- the difference this time is purely in the circumstances.</u> President Obama started with a much weaker economy than I did. <u>No President - notListen to me or now. No president, no president, not me, not any of my predecessors, no one could have <u>fully repaired</u> all the damage that he found in just four years. <u>But conditions are improving and</u></u>

Now -- but he has -- he has the foundations for a new modern, successful economy of shared prosperity. And if you'llyou will renew the President's contract, you will feel it. You will feel it.

Folks, whether the American people believe what I just said or not may be the whole election. I just want you to know that with believe it. With all my heart, I believe it.

Now, why do I believe it? I'm fixing to tell you why. I believe it because President Obama's approach embodies the values, the ideas, and the direction America must has to take to build a 21st century Century version of the American Dream indream, a nation of shared opportunities, shared responsibility, shared prosperity and, a shared responsibilities.

So <u>let's get</u> back to the story. In 2010, as the <u>President's president's</u> recovery program kicked in, the job losses stopped, and things began to turn around.

The Recovery Act saved andor created millions of jobs and cut taxes. Let me say this again. Cut taxes for 95% percent of the American people. In

And, in the last 29 months-the, our economy has produced about 4.5 million private—sector jobs. But-We could have done better, but last year, the Republicans blocked the President's jobspresident's job plan, costing the economy more than a million new jobs.

So here's another <u>jobsjob</u> score: President Obama, plus 4.5 million; Congressional Republicans, zero.

Over that same During this period -- during this period, more than more than 500,000 manufacturing jobs have been created under President Obama—. And that's the first time manufacturing jobs have increased since the 1990s.

And I'll tell you something else. The auto industry restructuring worked. It saved more than a million jobs, and not just at GM, Chrysler and their dealerships, but in auto parts manufacturing all over the country. That's why even auto-makers that the automakers who weren't part of the deal supported it. They needed to save the those parts suppliers, too. Like I said, we're all in this together.

Now there are So what's happening? There are now 250,000 more people working in the auto industry than on the day the companies were restructured.

<u>So now we all know that</u> Governor Romney opposed the plan to save GM and Chrysler. So here's another <u>jobsjob</u> score: <u>Obama two hundred and fifty thousand</u>, <u>Are you listening in Michigan and Ohio and across the country? Here -- here's another job score. Obama, 250,000.</u> Romney, zero.

TheNow, the agreement the administration made with the management, labor and environmental groups to double car mileage over the next few years is another, that was a good deal:—it, too. It will cut your gas billprices in half, your gas bill. No matter what the price is, if you double the mileage of your car, your bill will be half of what it would have been. It will make us more energy independent, It will cut greenhouse gas emissions, and addemission. And according to several analyses, over the next 20 years, it will bring us another 500,000 half a million good new jobs into the American economy.

President Obama's

The president's energy strategy, which he calls "all of the above" energy plan," is helping, too—the boom in. The booming oil and gas production, combined with greater energy efficiency, has driven oil imports to a near 20- year low and natural gas production to an all—time high. RenewableAnd renewable energy production has also doubled.

We do

Of course, we need a lot more new jobs, lots of them, but there are already more than three3 million jobs open and unfilled in America today, mostly because the applicants people who apply for them don't yet have the required skills. We to do them. So even as we get Americans more

<u>jobs, we</u> have to prepare more Americans for the new jobs that are <u>beingactually going to be</u> created in a world fueled by new technology. That's why investments in our people are more important than ever. The President has. The old economy is not coming back. We've got to build a new one and educate people to do those jobs.

The president and his education secretary have supported community colleges and employers in working together to train people for jobs that are actually open jobs in their communities. And, even more important, after a decade in which exploding college costs have increased the drop-eutdropout rate so much that we've fallenthe percentage of our young people with four-year college degrees has gone down so much that we have dropped to 16th in the world in the percentage of our young adultspeople with college degrees, his.

So the president's student loan reform is more important than ever. Here's what it does. Here's what it does.

You need to tell every voter where you live about this. It lowers the cost of federal student loans and. And even more important, it gives students the right to repay the those loans as a clear, fixed, low percentage of their incomesincome for up to 20 years. That means

Now, what does this mean? What does this mean? Think of it. It means no one will ever have to drop-out of college again for fear they can't repay their debt, and no one will have to turn down a job, as.

And it means -- it means that if someone wants to take a job with a modest income, a teacher, a police officer-or, if they want to be a small-town doctor in a little rural area, they won't have to turn those jobs down because it doesn't they don't pay enough to makerepay the debt-payments. Their debt obligation will be determined by their salary. This will change the future for young Americans.

<u>I don't know about you, but all these issues,</u> I know we're better off because President Obama made these the decisions he did.

<u>ThatNow, that</u> brings me to health care. <u>TheAnd the</u> Republicans call it-, <u>derisively,</u> "Obamacare <u>and." They</u> say it's a government takeover-<u>of health care that, a disaster, and that</u> if we'll just elect them, they'll repeal. <u>Are</u> it. Well, are they right?

Let's take a look at what's actually happened so far. Individuals First, individuals and businesses have securedalready gotten more than a\$1 billion dellars in refunds from their insurance premiums companies because the new law requires 80% percent to 85% percent of your premiums premium to be spent ongo to your health care, not profits or promotion. Other And...

The gains are even greater than that, because a bunch of insurance companies have lowered applied to lower their rates to meet comply with the requirement. More

<u>Second, more</u> than 3 million young people between 19 and 25 are insured for the first time because their parents can now carry them on familyparents' policies. <u>Millions can cover them.</u>

<u>Third, millions</u> of seniors are receiving preventive care <u>including</u>, <u>all the way from</u> breast cancer screenings <u>and tests</u> to test for heart problems. <u>Soon</u> and scores of other things, and younger people are getting them, too.

<u>Fourth, soon</u> the insurance companies, <u>--</u> not the government, <u>the insurance companies --</u> will have millions of new customers, many of them middle_class people with pre-existing conditions. <u>And</u> who never could get insurance before.

Now, finally, listen to this. For the last two years, after going up at three times the rate of inflation for a decade, for the last two years, health care spending has growncosts have been under 4%, percent in both years for the first time in 50 years.

So are let me ask you something. Are we all better off because President Obama fought for # and passed ithealth care reform? You bet we are.

ThereNow, there were two other attacks on the President in Tampa that think deserve an answer. Both First, both Governor Romney and Congressman Ryan attacked the President for allegedly "robbing Medicare" of \$716 billion dollars. That's the same attack they leveled against the Congress in 2010, and they got a lot of votes on it. But it's not true.

<u>Look</u>, <u>here's what really happened</u>. You be the <u>judge</u>. Here's what really happened. There were no cuts to benefits. None. at all, none.

What the <u>President president</u> did was <u>to</u> save money by <u>cuttingtaking the recommendations of a commission of professionals to cut</u> unwarranted subsidies to providers and insurance companies that <u>weren'twere not</u> making people any healthier. <u>He</u> and were not necessary to get the providers to provide the service.

And instead of raiding Medicare, he used the savings avings to close the donut hole in the Medicare drug program, $\overline{}_{\underline{}}$

And -- you all got to listen carefully to this. This is really important -- and to add eight years to the life of the Medicare Trust Fund. It's now-trust fund so it is solvent until 2024.

<u>So...</u>So President Obama and the Democrats didn't weaken Medicare, they strengthened itMedicare.

When

Now, when Congressman Ryan looked into thethat TV camera and attacked President Obama's "Medicare savings as, quote, "the biggest, coldest power play" in raiding Medicare, ," I didn't know whether to laugh or cry. You see, because that \$716 billion dellars is exactly to the dollar the same amount of Medicare savings Congressman Ryan had that he has in his own budget.!

You got to give one thing: It takes some brass to attack a guy for doing what you did. Now -- so -- wait a minute.

Now you're having a good time, but this is getting serious, and I want you to listen.

It's important, because a lot of people believe this stuff. Now, at least on this issue, on this one issue, Governor Romney'sRomney has been consistent. He attacked President Obama, too, but he actually wants to repeal thethose savings and give the money back to the insurance companies, re-opencompany.

He wants to go back to the old system, which means we'll reopen the donut hole and force seniors to pay more for drugs, and we'll reduce the life of the Medicare Trust Fundtrust fund by eight full years.

So now if he's elected, and if he does what he promised to do, Medicare will now go broke by in 2016. If that happens, youThink about that. That means after all we won't have to wait until their voucher program to beginskicks in, in 2023, to see the end of Medicare as we know it. They're going to do it to us sooner than we thought.

But-Now, folks, this is serious, because it gets worse. And you won't be laughing when I finish telling you this. They also want to block grant Medicaid and cut it by a third over the coming decade 10 years. Of course, that will that's going to really hurt a lot of poor kids, but.

<u>But</u> that's not all. <u>AlmostA lot of folks don't know it, but nearly</u> two-thirds of Medicaid is spent on nursing home care for <u>Medicare seniors and onwho are eligible for Medicaid.</u>

It's going to end Medicare as we know it. And a lot of that money is also spent to help people with disabilities, including kids from alot of middle_class families, with special needs like, Downs whose kids have Down's syndrome or Autism.autism or other severe conditions.

And, honestly, just think about it. If that happens, I don't know how what those families are going to deal with it.do. So I know what I'm going to do: I'm going to do everything I can to see that it doesn't happen. We can't let it happen. We can't.

Now-let's, wait a minute. Let's look at the Republicanother big charge the Republicans made. It's a real doozy.

They actually have charged and run ads saying that President Obama wants to weaken the work requirements in the welfare reform bill I signed that moved millions of people from welfare to work. Wait. You need to know, here's what happened.

Nobody ever tells you what really happened. Here's what happened. When some Republican governors asked if they could have waivers to try new ways to put people on welfare back to work, the Obama Administration said they wouldadministration listened, because we all know it's hard for even people with good work histories to get jobs today, so moving folks from welfare to work is a real challenge. And the administration agreed to give waivers to those governors and others only do it if they had a credible plan to increase employment by 20%. You hear percent and they could keep the waivers only if they did increase employment.

Now, did I make myself clear? The requirement was for more work, not less.

So this is personal to me. We moved millions of people off welfare. It was one of the reasons that? More work. So, in the eight years I was president, we had 100 times as many people move out of poverty into the middle class than happened under the previous 12 years, 100 times as many. It's a big deal.

But I am telling you, the claim that President Obama weakened welfare reform's work requirement is just not true. But they keep running ads on it. As their campaign pollster said "we're not going to let our campaign be dictated by fact checkers." Now that is true. I couldn't have said it better myself — I just hope you remember that every time you see the ad But they keep on running ads claiming it. Let's You want to know why? Their campaign pollster said, "We are not going to let our campaign be dictated by fact-checkers."

Now, finally I can say: That is true.

I couldn't have said it better myself.

And I hope you and every American within the sound of my voice remembers it every time they see one of those ads, and it turns into an ad to re-elect Barack Obama and keep the fundamental principles of personal empowerment and moving everybody who can get a job into work as soon as we can.

Now let's talk about the debt. We have Today, interest rates are low, lower than the rate of inflation. People are practically paying us to borrow money, to hold their money for them. But it will become a big problem when the economy grows and interest rates start to rise. We've got to deal with it-this big long-term debt problem or it will deal with us. President Obama It'll gobble up a bigger and bigger percentage of the federal budget we'd rather spend on education and health care and science and technology. It -- we've got to deal with it.

Now, what has the president done? He has offered a reasonable plan with of \$4 trillion dollars in debt reduction over a decade, with two and a half dollars of \$2.5 trillion coming from -- for every \$2.5 trillion in spending reductions for every one cuts, he raises a dollar of revenue increases, and in new revenues, 2.5 to 1. And he has tight controls on future spending. It's That's the kind of balanced approach proposed by the bipartisan-Simpson-Bowles commission-, a bipartisan commission.

Now, I think the President's this plan is way better than the Romney Governor Romney's plan, because. First, the Romney plan fails the first test of fiscal responsibility: The numbers just don't add up.

It's supposed to be a debt reduction plan but it begins with five trillion dollars mean, consider this. What would you do if you had this problem? Somebody says, "Oh, we've got a big debt problem. We've got to reduce the debt." So what's the first thing he says we're going to do? "Well, to reduce the debt, we're going to have another \$5 trillion in tax cuts over a ten year period. That makes, heavily weighted to upper-income people. So we'll make the debt hole bigger before they evenwe start to digget out- of it."

Now, when you say, "What are you going to do about this \$5 trillion you just added on?" They say they'll, "Oh, we'll make it up by eliminating loopholes in the tax code. When." So then you ask—", "Well, which loopholes—and? And how much?," ?" You know what they say? "See me about that after the election—on that." People."

I'm not making it up. That's their position. "See me about that after the election." Now, people ask me all the time how we <u>deliveredgot</u> four surplus budgets <u>in a row</u>. What new ideas did we bring? to Washington? I always give a one-word answer: arithmetic.

It's arithmetic.

If they stay with a-this \$5 trillion-dollar tax cut plan in a debt reduction plan—, the —arithmetic tells us that , no matter what they say, one of three things will happen: 1)is about to happen. One, assuming they try to do what they say they'll do -- get rid of -- cover it by deductions, cutting those deductions -- one, they'll have to eliminate so many deductions, like the ones for home mortgages and charitable giving, that middle- class families will see their tax bill bills go up two thousand dollars yearan average of \$2,000, while people making over anybody who makes \$3 million dollars a year getor more will still get a see their tax bill go down \$250,000 dollar tax cut; or 2).

Or, two, they'll have to cut so much spending that they'll obliterate the budget for ourthe national parks, for ensuring clean air, clean water, safe food, safe air travel; or they'll. They'll cut way back on Pell Grantsgrants, college loans, early childhood education and other, child nutrition programs, all the programs that help to empower middle—class families and help poor children, not to mention cuttingkids. Oh, they'll cut back on investments in roads, and bridges, and science, and technology and medicalbiomedical research; or 3). That's what they'll do. They'll hurt the middle class and the poor and put the future on hold to give tax cuts to upper-income people who've been getting it all along.

Or, three, in spite of all the rhetoric, they'll just do what they've been doing for thirty plusmore than 30 years now. They'll go and cut the taxes way more than they cut spending, especially with that big defense increase, and they'll just explode the debt, and weaken the economy. Remember, and they'll destroy the federal government's ability to help you by letting interest gobble up all your tax payments.

<u>Don't you ever forget, when you hear them talking about this, that</u> Republican economic policies quadrupled the <u>national</u> debt before I took office, in the 12 years before I took office and doubled it the debt in the eight years after I left, because it defied arithmetic.

It was a highly inconvenient thing for them in our debates that I was just a country boy from Arkansas and I came from a place where people still thought two and two was four.

<u>It's arithmetic</u>. We simply <u>can'tcannot</u> afford to <u>give the reins of government to someone who will</u> double-down on trickle-down.

Now, think about this. President Obama's plan cuts the debt, honors our values, and brightens the future forof our children, our families, and our nation. It's a heck of a lot better. It passes the arithmetic test and, far more important, it passes the values test.

My fellow Americans, you have to decide all of us in this grand hall and everybody watching at home, when we vote in this election, we'll be deciding what kind of country youwe want to live in. If you want a winner-take- all, you're—on—your—own, winner take all_society, you should support the Republican ticket. If you want a country of shared opportunities and shared responsibilities—responsibility, a ""we're—all—in-it—this-together—" society, you should vote for Barack Obama and Joe Biden.

If you want <u>-- if you want America -- if you want every American</u> to vote and you think <u>itsit is</u> wrong to change voting procedures just to reduce the turnout of younger, poorer, minority, and disabled voters, you should support Barack Obama.—If

And if you think -- if you think the President was right to open the doors of American opportunity to all those young immigrants brought here as children who want to go to college orwhen they were young so they can serve in the military or go to college, you should must vote for Barack Obama.

If you want a future of shared prosperity, where the middle class is growing and poverty ispoverty's declining, where the American Dreamdream is really alive and well again, and where the United States remains the leading maintains its leadership as a force for peace and justice and prosperity in athis highly competitive world, you should have to vote for Barack Obama.

<u>Look</u>, I love our country <u>and so much</u>. And I know we're coming back. For more than 200 years, through every crisis, we've always come <u>outback</u>. People have predicted our demise ever since George Washington was criticized for being a mediocre surveyor with a bad set of wooden, false teeth. And so far every single person that's bet against America has lost money, because we always come back.

We've come through every fire a little stronger than we went in and a little better. And we will again as long as we do it together. Webecause, in the end, we decide to champion the cause for which our founders pledged their lives, their fortunes, their sacred honor—to form, the cause of forming a more perfect union.

My fellow Americans, if that is what you want, if that is what you believe, if that's what you want, we have to must vote and you must re-elect President Barack Obama.

God Bless You – bless you. And God Bless bless America.